

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/361,235	07/27/99	9 NOMURA	M	2421-0364-0C
— 022850		IM62/1011		EXAMINER
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT			WEISBERGER,R	
FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1755 JEFFE ARLINGTON	RSON DAVIS VA 22202	HIGHWAY	1774	9
			J. 1. 0 11 11	10/11/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

09/361,235

Applicant(s)

Nomure et al.

Office Action Summary Exa

Examiner

Weisberger Richard C.

Group Art Unit



	Welsbarger Hieriard O.	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
X' This action is FINAL .		
Since this application is in condition for allowance exception accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,		n as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is a longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fai application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within the period	for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims		
X Claim(s) 12-22	is/are p	pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is	/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 12-22	is	/are rejected.
Claim(s)		
Claims		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	bjected to by the Examiner isapproved	disapproved.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority. All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copied received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial received in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	ies of the priority documents hav Number) the International Bureau (PCT R	re been . ule 17.2(a)).
	,	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	<u> </u>	
SEE DEFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09361235 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

1. Newly submitted claims 12 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from

the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Originally elected claims are directed to

a molded resin product.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention,

this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the

merits. Accordingly, claim 12 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected

invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

2. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

In the claims the limitation "an acid modified polyolefin based resin" is vague and indefinite as the

metes and bounds of the modification step is not specifically defined. See specification, page 13,

lines 8-9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09361235

Art Unit: 1774

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shaw et al.

The prior art teaches a fiber-reinforced composite prepared by an aqueous slurry process and heat expanded in thickness to a void volume of from about 20 to about 90 percent by volume, said composite comprising a continuous matrix comprising a solid thermoplastic resin and, distributed throughout said matrix, from about 10 to 50 percent by weight of the composite of randomly oriented reinforcing fibers wherein said fibers have an average length from about 0.125 to 1.00 inch and an aspect ratio of at least about 40. Moreover, the composite is said to be characterized by surfaces being a smooth resin layer from about 0.05 to 1 mil thick. With respect to the functional limitations claimed, the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure and thus a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established over functional limitations that stem from the claimed structure. In re Best,195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977), In re Spada,15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The prima facie case can be rebutted by evidence showing that the prior art products do not necessarily possess the characteristics of the claimed product. In re Best,195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Application/Control Number: 09361235

Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Respectfully;

Richard Weisberger

703 308 4408

Application/Control Number: 09361235

Art Unit: 1774

Page 5